

**Exercise CLOZE TEST** Read this item developed on the basis of an October 2018 submission of comments to the European Commission from a UK-based NGO called Saferworld, before writing into each gap **ONE** suitable word that fits grammatically and in context.

As they work to \_\_\_\_\_ policy into effect, civil servants are increasingly interested in doors to public participation \_\_\_\_\_ opened. As is probably \_\_\_\_\_ to everybody, this is the process by which people \_\_\_\_\_ are not elected officials or appointed public servants \_\_\_\_\_ part in decisionmaking on policy relevant \_\_\_\_\_ their lives. There is a long \_\_\_\_\_ of ways in which the public can participate, *inter alia* through public hearings, polls, formal objections, public voting and citizen forums. \_\_\_\_\_ successful, public participation can lead to better articulation of the community's needs and clearer solutions to present challenges. Citizens \_\_\_\_\_ more responsibility for policy implementation; and \_\_\_\_\_ an ever-stronger sense of civic duty. The process can help develop trust and consensus, \_\_\_\_\_ to empowerment; and will most likely \_\_\_\_\_ attachment and identification with the community. In some instances, participation may even shorten the process of policy setting, in this way reducing the \_\_\_\_\_ of money needing to be \_\_\_\_\_. Of course, \_\_\_\_\_ are downsides too, and academics have long argued \_\_\_\_\_ the wisdom of citizens participating. A first challenge relates to identity: as those \_\_\_\_\_ power start to work with "the public", \_\_\_\_\_ is inevitable – even by chance – that some groups will be represented disproportionately. Beyond that, some will work actively to manipulate the system to advance their individual, as opposed to common, interests. Full transparency may be lacking, and participation can \_\_\_\_\_ conflict in society. Under such circumstances, policy-setting might \_\_\_\_\_ up being *more* expensive and lengthy, rather \_\_\_\_\_ less. A further major challenge reflects the fact that we cannot preclude irrational \_\_\_\_\_ of decisions. While a rational governmental and/or expert process probably involves: 1) identifying the problem or opportunity; 2) brainstorming alternative solutions; 3) evaluating alternatives and \_\_\_\_\_ one solution; and 4) implementation and ongoing evaluation, this \_\_\_\_\_ of thing may not be expected from citizens. \_\_\_\_\_ this and other reasons, those who manage participation will need to ??? \_\_\_\_\_ guidance, and that means \_\_\_\_\_ a bottom-up approach to the building of trust. In \_\_\_\_\_ to win that trust, officials must study the social environment, \_\_\_\_\_ more in that way about the composition and characteristics of the community, and especially its competing interests. The agenda of the community as \_\_\_\_\_ whole must be understood, and that means special note being taken of \_\_\_\_\_ matters to groups on the margins. Community history should also be reviewed via "social scanning". This kind of approach will help ensure that, when participation does begin, it is pursued in \_\_\_\_\_ responsible and careful a \_\_\_\_\_ as possible.