

**LISTENING COMPREHENSION** *You will hear (twice – after several minutes to read the questions, and with a 90-second gap between renditions) a report from James Tilly. Listen to answer the following questions.*

What qualifies the presenter to present the report?

What change was made to UK law half a century ago?

What organisation does Maisie belong to?

...and why – specifically **and** generally – does Maisie think she would be qualified to vote in June 2019?

According to Maisie, how do today's teenagers and those of 1969 differ?

To illustrate his point, Prof. Phil Cowley talks about giving his interlocutor some “belated birthday gifts”. Give **two** examples, and say what they **all** have in common.

What trend over 30 years or so is therefore noticeable to Prof. Cowley?

How does Prof. Cowley undermine arguments relating to military service or weddings?

... and what specific circumstances surround the “age of consent” issue?

How – basically – does the presenter express reservations about former Labour leader Ed Miliband’s first claim?

...and what famous principle from history **and** what real-life precedent does Miliband then cite?

What research-backed argument in favour of lowering voting age does Mr Miliband present?

Miliband sums up with what 2 basic arguments for 16 as the age for voting eligibility?